1	COOLEY LLP KYLE C. WONG (224021)	
2	(kwong@cooley.com) REECE TREVOR (316685)	
3	(rtrevor@cooley.com) 3 Embarcadero Center, 20th Floor	
4	San Francisco, California 94111-4004 Telephone: +1 415 693 2000	
5	Facsimile: +1 415 693 2222	
6	TIANA DEMAS (pro hac vice) (tdemas@cooley.com)	
7	MARIAH A. YOUNG (pro hac vice) (mayoung@cooley.com)	
8	110 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 4200 Chicago, Illinois 60606	
9	Telephone: +1 312 881-6500 Facsimile: +1 312 881-6598	
10	Attorneys for Defendants	
11	GOOGLE LLC and YOUTUBE, LLC	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
15		
16	BOGARD, MCGRATH, JANE DOE, BECCA SCHMILL FOUNDATION, <i>Individually and</i>	Case No. 5:24-cv-03131-VKD
17	on behalf of all others similarly situated,	DECLARATION OF DAVID MATTERN IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' JOINT
18	Plaintiffs,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONTINUE MOTION TO DISMISS HEARING
19	V.	
20	TIKTOK, INC., BYTEDANCE, INC., GOOGLE LLC, YOUTUBE, LLC,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

D. MATTERN DECL. ISO MOT. TO CONTINUE MTD HEARING CASE NO. 5:24-CV-03131-VKD I, David Mattern, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney at King & Spalding LLP and counsel for Defendants ByteDance Inc. and TikTok Inc. ("TikTok Defendants") in *Bogard et al. v. TikTok, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 5:24-cv-03131-VKD. I submit this declaration in support of Defendants' Joint Administrative Motion to Continue Motion to Dismiss Hearing.
- 2. On February 25, 2025, the Court granted Defendants' Joint Motion to Dismiss and provided Plaintiffs' leave to amend their complaint.
  - 3. On March 24, 2025, Plaintiffs filed an Amended Class Action Complaint.
- 4. On May 5, 2025, Defendants filed their Joint Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Class Action Complaint ("Joint Motion to Dismiss").
- 5. On June 2, 2025, Plaintiffs filed their Opposition to Defendants' Joint Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Class Action Complaint.
- 6. On June 23, 2025, Defendants filed their Reply in Support of their Joint Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Class Action Complaint.
- 7. The Joint Motion to Dismiss is currently set for hearing on July 22, 2025. Counsel for the TikTok Defendants have a conflict with that date due to a trial exercise in another matter that will be ongoing throughout the week of July 21, 2025. Counsel for Defendants Google LLC and YouTube LLC has a conflict arising out of a full-day mediation the day prior to the hearing in Chicago. That mediation was originally scheduled to conclude in time for counsel to travel to San Jose for the hearing. That is no longer the case.
- 8. On July 8, 2025, Defendants jointly contacted Plaintiffs' counsel to ask if they would be amenable to inquiring with the Court whether it planned to hold oral argument and if it would consider continuing the July 22, 2025 hearing. In a response sent the same day, Plaintiff's counsel declined.
- 9. On July 14, 2025, following informal correspondence with the Court's staff on which counsel for all parties was copied, Defendants again requested Plaintiffs' position on the instant request to continue the hearing until September 9, 2025, the earliest date on which all defense counsel were available. Plaintiffs' counsel indicated that they would oppose the motion,

1	but did not provide details. Counsel for the YouTube Defendants requested the reason for Plaintiffs'	
2	position and advised that Defendants were willing to request another date to accommodate	
3	Plaintiffs' counsel's schedule. Plaintiffs' counsel responded that "the basis of the opposition is that	
4	we need to move this litigation along expeditiously."	
5		
6	I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States of America that the	
7	foregoing is true and correct. Executed on July 15, 2025 in Washington D.C	
8	///>	
9	/s/ David Mattern  David Mattern	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

## **ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3)**

I, Tiana Demas, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from all other signatories.

/s/ Tiana Demas
Tiana Demas

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO